

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                              | 1      | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|--------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/898,900                                   |        | 07/03/2001  | Kevin Taylor         | H0075/7076/REH 3612     |                 |
| 23628  | 7590   | 04/28/2004  |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
|  |        | LD & SACKS, | SORKIN, DAVID L      |                         |                 |
| FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA<br>600 ATLANTIC AVENUE |        |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| BOSTON,                                      | MA 022 | 10-2211     |                      | 1723                    |                 |
|  |        |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004 | 1               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  |   | $\mu$ c |
|---|--|---|---------|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  | ******  |
| Office Action Commence  | 09/898,900   | TAYLOR ET AL.   |         |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |         |
|   | David L. Sorkin  | 1723  |         |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a<br>Period for Reply  | ppears on the cover sheet w  | rith the correspondence address   |         |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |         |
| Status  |  |   |         |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27   | February 2004.   |   |         |
|   | nis action is non-final.   |   |         |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under  |  |   |         |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |         |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-21 and 25-35 is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-16 and 27-34 is</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 8-13 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-3,5-7, 17-21, 25, 26 and 35 is/are</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>  | are withdrawn from consider  | eration.  |         |
| Application Papers  |  |   |         |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2004 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ ne drawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing   | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |         |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |         |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li   | ents have been received.<br>ents have been received in a<br>riority documents have been<br>eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | Application No n received in this National Stage  |         |
| Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/20/2003.   | Paper No   | Summary (PTO-413)<br>(s)/Mail Date<br>Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |         |

Application/Control Number: 09/898,900

Art Unit: 1723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. In the latest list of claims, received 27 February 2004, line 2 of claim 7 begins with a struck-through letter "a", though the claims is marked "(Previously presented). This struck-through letter "a" should be omitted from any future claim list.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 17-21, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention:

In the second-to-last line of claim 17, there is lack of antecedent basis for "said mixing means" (though "a mixer" is previously recited). It is unclear if section 112, sixth paragraph is being invoked.

In line 18 of claim 17, there is lack of antecedent basis for "said receptacle inlet".

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5-7 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 2,291,600 A in view of Miller (US 3,574,411). Regarding claim 1,

Application/Control Number: 09/898,900

Art Unit: 1723

GB ('600) discloses an apparatus comprising a receptacle (13 and in the alternative 11); a scale (14); a mixer (the "pre-mixing means" disclosed on page 6 and in the alterative 26); a transfer pipe (16) coupled with the receptacle via a valve (23); and a negative pressure pneumatic source (19) connected to said transfer pipe downstream of the valve. The valve is not explicitly disclose to be a "rotary" valve. Miller ('411) teaches a rotary valve (see col. 1, lines 1-20). It is considered that is would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provides the apparatus of GB ('600) with a rotary valve as or in addition to valve 23, as taught by Miller ('411) because Miller ('411) explains that rotary valves are "in widespread use" for delivering particulate material and suggests use of a rotary valve in pneumatic conveying of particulate material (see col. 1, line 20). Regarding claim 2, one or more inlets communicate with the receptacle and can be used in the manner discussed in the claim (See Figs. 1 and 2). Regarding claim 3, the scale comprises load cells 14 and can be used in the manner discussed in the claim. Regarding claim 5, the rotary valve taught by Miller ('411) is motor driven (see col. 2, lines 14-15). Regarding claim 6, the mixer is capable of being used to blend palletized additives (see page 6, lines 5-11). Regarding claim 7, said transfer pipe is connected between said receptacle and an input of an asphalt mixing box (see Fig. 1). Regarding claim 35, GB ('600) discloses an apparatus including means for feeding on or more additives into a receptacle (see page 6, lines 13-22); means (14) for weighting each additive; means for mixing said additives in said receptacle into a blend (see page 6, lines 7-8); means for transferring said blend from said receptacle to a transfer pipe, said means for transferring including a valve (23); and means (19) for transporting said

Application/Control Number: 09/898,900

Art Unit: 1723

blend by applying negative pneumatic pressure to the transfer pipe downstream of the rotary valve. The valve is not explicitly disclose to be a "rotary" valve. Miller ('411) teaches a rotary valve (see col. 1, lines 1-20). It is considered that is would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provides the apparatus of GB ('600) with a rotary valve as or in addition to valve 23, as taught by Miller ('411) because Miller ('411) explains that rotary valves are "in widespread use" for delivering particulate material and suggests use of a rotary valve in pneumatic conveying of particulate material (see col. 1, line 20).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 8-13 are allowed.
- 7. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 17-21, 25 and 26 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Response to Arguments

- 9. Some claims previously allowed are no longer allowed upon consideration of the IDS filed 20 October 2003.
- 10. Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the new grounds for rejection.

Art Unit: 1723

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. McIver (US 3,881,720) states that rotary valves are "well known in the art" for discharging particulate materials from contains (see col. 2, lines 53-57).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 571-272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David L. Sorkin Examiner

Art Unit 1723

David Sorkin

Don't kok